

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/929,836	09/15/1997	RUSSELL DONOVAN ARTERBURN	6971	2308
7590 07/30/2004			EXAMINER	
ROBERT D. TOUSLEE			HOFFMANN, JOHN M	
JOHNS MANVILLECORPORATION 10100 WEST UTE AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LITTLETON,			1731	

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	08/929,836	ARTERBURN, RUS DONOVAN	SELL			
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Hoffmann	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date o b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more partial patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bele) will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 1,3 and 5-7.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 2, 4, 8-24						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		,				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			» سی			
 0 Other:		John Hoffmann Primary Examiner Art Writ: 1731	7-28-61			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 008/929,836

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issue is whether the amendment is proper. It is noted that claim 21is designated as "Previously Presented" however, line 3 has an "a" which is deleted. The new issue is whether there are other improper changes in the amendment.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1)_ The amendment is not entered and 2) the arguments were not convincing. As to the the portions rejection - it largely irrelevant that the Board did not state the portions were indefinite - the rejection is based on the arguments contained in the rejection. There is no indication that the Board considered whether or not the language was definite - The Board was under no requirement to determine whether that particular language was indefinite. Nothing can be ascertained from the Decision on Appeal as to whether the Board would hold the terms indefinite. Since Applicant did not clearly point out any error in the rejection, it is deemed that Applicant agrees with the arguments in the rejection. As to the argument that Examiner believes the Board agrees with the present rejection: Examiner had no intention of suggesting such and apologizes if that impression was given. Examiners reference to the Decision on Appeal was merely to point out how/why Examiner is unable to tell what is meant by the claims and thus why the present rejection is made.